1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 7 CYDE MARIE ESTES, NO. 4:21-CV-5042-TOR 8 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING IN PART 9 **DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR** v. FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 502 ORDER 10 PROVIDENCE HEALTH & SERVICES - WASHINGTON, d/b/a 11 PROVIDENCE ST. MARY MEDICAL CENTER, and d/b/a PROVIDENCE MEDICAL 12 **GROUP SOUTHEAST** 13 WASHINGTON NEUROSURGERY, and JASON A. DREYER, D.O., and JANE DOE DREYER, husband and 14 wife and the marital community thereof, 15 16 Defendants. 17 18 BEFORE THE COURT is Defendant Providence Health & Services – 19 Washington's Motion for FRE 502(d) Order. ECF Nos. 50, 51. This matter was 20 submitted for consideration without oral argument. The Court has reviewed the

ORDER GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR FEDERAL

RULE OF EVIDENCE 502 ORDER ~ 1

record and files herein and is fully informed. For the reasons discussed below,

Protective Order previously entered already covers inadvertent disclosure and

therefor is unnecessary. Second, Plaintiff contends the proposed order is over

or otherwise" without regard to the test set forth in the Rule. Finally, Plaintiff

broad, vague and attempts to predetermine the effect of any disclosure "inadvertent

opposes the order because it appears to be an attempt to further delay production of

be no harm to either party. To the extent the proposed order is over broad, vague

and not in accordance with the Rule, the Court will fashion the Order to track the

language of the Rule. Entry of this Order should assist timely production of

To the extent an additional protective order would be redundant, there would

Plaintiff opposes the motion for several reasons. First, Plaintiff contends the

1

2

Defendant's Motion is **GRANTED** in part.

3

## DISCUSSION

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

documents.

11

12

13

14

15

16

discovery.

17

18

19

20

**ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:** 1. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) the attorney-client privilege

and the work-product protection "is not waived by disclosure connected

with the litigation pending before the court—in which event the

disclosure is also not a waiver in any other federal or state proceeding."

ORDER GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 502 ORDER ~ 2

2. Each party to bear their own costs and expenses concerning this motion.

The District Court Executive is directed to enter this Order and furnish copies to counsel.

DATED August 3, 2022.



THOMAS O. RICE United States District Judge

ORDER GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 502 ORDER ~ 3